

UNIVERSITY of NORTH CAROLINA
ASHEVILLE

Minutes of the Meeting of the University of North Carolina at Asheville
BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Friday, February 19, 2016
Highsmith Union, Mountain Suites

The following Trustees were present:

Pat Smith, Chair
King Prather, Vice Chair
Kennon Briggs, Secretary
JW Davis
Bob Long, Jr.
Richard Lutovsky
Maya Newlin, Student Trustee
Piyush Patel
Wilma Sherrill
Robby Russell
Oscar Wong

The following Trustees were absent:

Cissie Stevens
Ron Paulus

Others present:

Mary Grant, Chancellor
Joseph Urgo, Provost and Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs
Amanda Baranski, Administration and Finance
Buffy Bagwell, Vice Chancellor for Advancement
Luke Bukoski, Director of Communication and Marketing
Brian Butler, Chair, Faculty Senate / Thomas Howerton Distinguished Professor of Humanities
Chelsey Burke, Executive Assistant to the Chancellor
Greg Carter, Associate Vice Chancellor of External Relations
Janet Cone, Senior Administrator for University Enterprises / Athletics Director
William Haggard, Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs
Amy Jessee, Communication and Marketing
Nicole Norian, Director of Human Resources
Heather Parlier, General Counsel
John Pierce, Vice Chancellor for Administration and Finance
Monique Taylor, Internal Auditor
Deaver Traywick, Writing Center Director
Darin Waters, Special Assistant to the Chancellor for Community Outreach

The meeting was called to order by Chair Smith at 9 a.m. Chair Smith thanked the board for the excellent committee meetings that took place yesterday. She also congratulated our men's basketball team for their success and the board for supporting them as they enter the conference tournament. Chair Smith also noted the excellent lecture given by Salman Rushdie, which took place in Kimmel Arena.

Ethics Reminder

Chair Smith reminded the Board of the duty, imposed by the State Government Ethics Act, to avoid conflicts of interest and appearances of conflict, and allowed members to declare any conflicts. No conflicts stated.

Approval of the Minutes of the December 4, 2015, Meeting of the Board of Trustees

Upon a motion duly made and seconded, it was

VOTED: To approve the minutes of the December 4, 2015, meeting of the Board and all actions contained therein.

Approval to go into Closed Session

Upon a motion duly made and seconded, it was

VOTED: To go into Closed Session for the following reasons:

- To prevent the premature disclosure of honorary award or scholarship
- To consider the qualifications, competence, performance, or condition of appointment of a public officer or employee or prospective public officer or employee, as allowed by sections 143-318.11 (a) (2), (5), and (6) of the North Carolina General Statutes.

Closed Session ended at 9:47 a.m.

[The Board took a 5 minute break, returning at 9:52 a.m.]

Report of the February 16, 2016, Meeting of the Academic Affairs Committee

Trustee Long delivered the report on behalf of the Academic Affairs Committee.

Trustee Long reported the committee heard a report from Professors Brian Hook and Kate Zubko describing the four-year, general education Humanities sequence and recent revisions designed to update the Humanities curriculum. Curricular changes have been underway since 2013, and are now about halfway through a planned process. He noted that among the changes were the creation of video lectures, produced by the faculty and accessible to students online. Changes in the content of the required courses involve greater attention to cultures and history outside of Europe, including Africa, the Americas, Persia, and Indian religions. Student responses to the changes in content and course delivery have been uniformly positive.

Trustee Long reported that Provost Urgo provided a number of Academic Affairs updates. To name a few - the academic calendar has been revised to allow time in January for study abroad trips; the National Council on Undergraduate Research (NCUR) conference, scheduled for April, will bring 4,000 students to campus from 49 states and 7 countries; and as a result of the COACHE faculty survey on faculty work, the Provost will invite discussions with the Faculty Senate regarding professional development for

associate professors and clarity of tenure expectations for assistant professors. Provost Urgo also reported on a large group of third-year review candidates, all twelve of whom will stand for tenure in three years.

Trustee Long noted how well the presentation was given by the professors and overall, the meeting was a great discussion.

Report of the Advancement Committee

The Advancement Committee did not meet yesterday, however Vice Chancellor Bagwell updated the board on the progress in Advancement.

General Administration set a goal of \$3.5 Million – to date in cash, we are at \$4.7 million. We expect to reach \$5.4 million at the end of the fiscal year. With new pledges and planned gifts, Vice Chancellor Bagwell projected over \$7 million total by the end of the fiscal year. She also noted alumni participation has increased to 5%.

Chancellor Grant updated the board on the search for a new Vice Chancellor for Advancement. Vice Chancellor John Pierce will chair the search committee; Chair Smith, as well as Vice Chair Leslie Casse from the Foundation Board, are among those serving on the committee.

The search firm Aspen Leadership Group has been hired to search nationally for candidates. This group is from Boston with local roots here in Asheville. Chancellor Grant announced that this will be a closed search.

Chancellor Grant talked through the timeline of the search and noted the goal is to have a candidate to recommend to the board at the June meeting. The search committee has already started to meet and will continue to meet throughout the next few months.

Report of the February 18, 2016, Meeting of the Audit Committee

Chair Smith delivered the report on behalf of the Audit Committee.

Chair Smith noted the Audit Committee met very briefly, touching on the progress of the audit plan. She noted there was nothing else to report, other than the audit plan work is moving along well.

Report of the February 18, 2016, Meeting of the Student Affairs and Administration and Finance Committee

Trustee Prather and Trustee Patel delivered the report on behalf of the Committees.

State Budget

Trustee Prather reported that for the first six months of the 2016 fiscal year, state General Fund receipts increased by \$588 million. Revenue totals are about 1.2% higher than state budget projections for the first six months of the fiscal year. State spending is up \$152 million versus last year. With these revenue and spending numbers, we do not expect a reversion this year. Trustee Prather also noted that last month, alum Drew Heath '03 was named the NC State Budget Director.

ConnectNC Bond

The committee discussed the ConnectNC Bond that will go before the voters on March 15, 2016. The \$21.1 million came from a portion of the bond for repairs and renovations.

Community Partnerships

Trustee Prather noted the committee discussed various projects in the community, such as the RAMP project and CCCD project. These new spaces will create more opportunities for our faculty and students to collaborate and will deepen the partnership and relationship with the city.

Board of Governors Feedback – Self Liquidating Projects

At the January Board of Governors meeting, the schools with self-liquidating projects were asked to give a three to five-minute presentation. The Committee responded positively to the Highsmith Project. For the new Residence Hall, there was feedback that UNC Asheville consider the private sector for completing the project. There was support for the project but questions about the cost and approach were raised. Numerous discussions have followed internally, with GA and other schools on their approaches and use of developers. Minimum state construction standards for residential projects were discussed and the different standards that have been used by private developers in the past. These different standards appear to be available for certain state projects. Since our project is a low height residence hall, it is likely we will be able to use these different standards. It could be feasible to privately fund the project, still maintain the same state standards while decreasing the construction time by four to six months. UNC Asheville may have flexibility to go with either method. The final vote on these projects will go to the Board of Governors in April.

Highsmith Union/Residence Hall

Three designers were selected and prioritized based on past performance, current workload, experience, capabilities, success records, etc. The process was extremely thorough and very inclusive. There were two separate committees for the two projects made up of students, faculty and staff. A total of sixteen proposals were received for the Highsmith Project and thirteen for the Residence Hall.

The top three designers for consideration and in order of preference for the Highsmith Project included:
1) Little/Workshop 2) Mackey Mitchell 3) LS3P

The resolution below was approved by the committee and is given to the full board for voting.

WHEREAS, the Board of Trustees (“Board”) of The University of North Carolina at Asheville (the “University”) has determined that it is in the best interests of the University to renovate Highsmith Student Union, proposed to be authorized by the North Carolina General Assembly in its 2016 session (the “Highsmith Project”);

WHEREAS, by resolution dated December 4, 2015, the Board approved the student debt service fee rate for 2016-2017 in connection with existing projects and the Highsmith Project; and

WHEREAS, the Highsmith Project is planned and supervised by the University of North Carolina Asheville Facilities Management and Planning (“FMP”) office; and

WHEREAS, the FMP office has also issued Request for Qualifications (“RFQs”) to obtain the services of qualified designers and engineering firms for the Project; and

WHEREAS, the FMP office carefully evaluates each response to those RFQs utilizing a number of criteria as specified by State construction rules and guidelines including such elements as qualifications, prior experience at the University of North Carolina Asheville and/or in the

University of North Carolina System, whether the design or engineering firm represents a historically underutilized business, whether the design or engineering firm represents a local business entity, and other relevant factors; and

WHEREAS, the FMP in conjunction with the Chancellor and other University administrators has narrowed the pool of qualified designers and engineering firms to three firms in priority order: Little Diversified & Workshop, Mackey Mitchell, and LS3P (“Recommended Designers”); and

WHEREAS, the Board of Trustees of the University of North Carolina Asheville is charged by the University of North Carolina Board of Governors with the authority and responsibility to approve the selection of designers and engineers for projects at the University of North Carolina Asheville; and

WHEREAS, the University recommends approval by the Board of Trustees of the Recommended Designers for the Highsmith Project;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, the Board of Trustees of the University of North Carolina Asheville approves the Recommended Designers and directs the University to select one of the Recommended Designers in priority order dependent on successful negotiations, for the Highsmith Project.

ADOPTED AND APPROVED this 19th day of February, 2016

Upon a motion duly made, it was

VOTED: To approve the “Resolution to Approve Highsmith Union Designer Recommendation”

The top three designers for consideration and in order of preference for the Residence Hall Project included: 1) Hanbury, Evans, Wright, Vlattas 2) Little 3) Lord Aeck Sargent.

The resolution below has been approved by the committee and is given to the full board for voting.

WHEREAS, the Board of Trustees (“Board”) of The University of North Carolina at Asheville (the “University”) has determined that it is in the best interests of the University to construct a new Residence Hall on the campus of University, proposed to be authorized by the North Carolina General Assembly in its 2016 session (the “Residence Hall Project”);

WHEREAS, in Fiscal Year 2017, the University will seek Board approval of the issuance of debt in connection with the Residence Hall Project; and

WHEREAS, the Residence Hall Project is planned and supervised by the University of North Carolina Asheville Facilities Management and Planning (“FMP”) office; and

WHEREAS, the FMP office has also issued Request for Qualifications (“RFQs”) to obtain the services of qualified designers and engineering firms for the Project; and

WHEREAS, the FMP office carefully evaluates each response to those RFQs utilizing a number of criteria as specified by State construction rules and guidelines including such elements as qualifications, prior experience at the University of North Carolina Asheville and/or in the University of North Carolina System, whether the design or engineering firm represents a

historically underutilized business, whether the design or engineering firm represents a local business entity, and other relevant factors; and

WHEREAS, the FMP in conjunction with the Chancellor and other University administrators has narrowed the pool of qualified designers and engineering firms to three firms in priority order: Hanbury Evans Wright Vlattas, Little Diversified, and Lord Aeck Sargent (“Recommended Designers”); and

WHEREAS, the Board of Trustees of the University of North Carolina Asheville is charged by the University of North Carolina Board of Governors with the authority and responsibility to approve the selection of designers and engineers for projects at the University of North Carolina Asheville; and

WHEREAS contingent upon the construction delivery method, the University recommends approval by the Board of Trustees of the Recommended Designers for the Residence Hall Project;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, the Board of Trustees of the University of North Carolina Asheville approves the Recommended Designers and directs the University, contingent upon construction delivery method, to select one of the Recommended Designers in priority order dependent on successful negotiations, for the Residence Hall Project.

ADOPTED AND APPROVED this 19th day of February, 2016

Upon a motion duly made, it was

VOTED: To approve the “Resolution to Approve the Residence Hall Designer Recommendation”

The committee discussed two potential sites for the new Residence Hall. Conversations have been done internally, as well as with the University Planning Council on site selection. The resolution below would delegate the authority for site selection to Chancellor Grant.

WHEREAS, the University of North Carolina Asheville (“University”) plans to add a residence hall on University grounds to address planned growth, the University’s mission, and to meet student needs (“Residence Hall project”); and

WHEREAS, the Residence Hall project will be implemented and overseen by University administration under the supervision of the Chancellor; and

WHEREAS, the University retained Brailsford & Dunlavey, a program management firm, to conduct a site selection survey; and

WHEREAS, University administration continues to work to select the best site for the overall campus for the Residence Hall project; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Trustees of the University of North Carolina Asheville is charged by the University of North Carolina Board of Governors with the authority and responsibility to approve building sites for projects at the University of North Carolina Asheville; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, the Board of Trustees of the University of North Carolina Asheville delegates the selection of the site for the Residence Hall project to the Chancellor.

ADOPTED AND APPROVED this 19th day of February, 2016

Upon a motion duly made and seconded, it was

VOTED: To approve the "Resolution to Approve the Residence Hall Site Selection Recommendation"

The last resolution being brought to the board from this committee would allow us to reimburse our costs on projects if we use tax-exempt financing. UNC Asheville has done this on all projects that have used tax-exempt financing. This resolution would allow us to go back 60 days to recapture funds that were already expended.

WHEREAS, the Board of Trustees of The University of North Carolina at Asheville (the "University") has determined that it is in the best interests of the University to renovate Highsmith Student Union and construct a new Residence Hall on the campus of University, all as expected to be authorized by the North Carolina General Assembly in its 2016 session (collectively, the "Project");

WHEREAS, the Board presently intends that the University, at one time or from time to time, finance all or a portion of the costs of the Project with proceeds of tax-exempt obligations and reasonably expects to issue its tax-exempt obligations (the "Obligations") to finance, or to cause the University to be reimbursed for, a portion of the costs of the Project; and

WHEREAS, the Board desires that the University proceed with the Project, and the University will incur and pay certain expenditures in connection with the Project prior to the date of issuance of the Obligations (the "Original Expenditures"), such Original Expenditures to be paid for originally from a source other than the proceeds of the Obligations, and the Board intends the University to be reimbursed for such Original Expenditures from a portion of the proceeds of the Obligations to be issued at a date occurring after the dates of such Original Expenditures;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board as follows:

Section 1. Official Declaration of Intent. The Board presently intends, and reasonably expects, the University to be reimbursed for the Original Expenditures incurred and paid by the University on or after the date occurring sixty (60) days prior to the date of adoption of this Resolution from a portion of the proceeds of the Obligations. The Board reasonably expects to issue or cause the University to issue the Obligations to finance a portion of the costs of the Project and the maximum principal amount of Obligations expected to be issued by the Board or the University to pay for such portion of the costs of the Project is \$46,225,000.

Section 2. Compliance with Regulations. This Resolution is a declaration of official intent of the Board under Section 1.150-2 of the Treasury Regulations promulgated under Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, to evidence the Board's intent to cause the University to be reimbursed for the Original Expenditures from proceeds of the Obligations.

Section 3. Itemization of Capital Expenditures. The Vice Chancellor for Finance and Operations of the University or his designee, with advice from bond counsel, is hereby authorized, directed and designated to act on behalf of the University in determining and itemizing all of the Original Expenditures incurred and paid by the University in connection with the Project during the period commencing on the date occurring sixty (60) days prior to the date of adoption of this Resolution and ending on the date of issuance of the Obligations.

Section 4. Effective Date. This Resolution is effective immediately on the date of its adoption.

ADOPTED AND APPROVED this 19th day of February, 2016

Upon a motion duly made and seconded, it was

VOTED: To approve the "Resolution Declaring its Intent to Cause the University of North Carolina at Asheville to be Reimbursed for Capital Expenditures Incurred in Connection with Renovation of Highsmith Student Union and the Construction of a New Residence Hall From the Proceeds of Certain Tax-Exempt Obligations to be Issued in Fiscal Year 2017"

Report of the February 18, 2016, Meeting of the Athletics Committee

Trustee Briggs delivered the report on behalf of the Athletics Committee.

Michael Gass, Director of Institutional Research, Effectiveness, and Planning, presented to the committee the credentials for choosing peers to benchmark and gauge our success. General Administration uses 17 institutions (15 public and two private) to use as benchmarks. The criteria is predominantly academically focused but they also look at size, financials, and resources. Trustee Briggs noted it is difficult to find athletic peers with academic missions such as ours within Division I. Athletic Director Cone presented to the committee showing our potential peers within athletics. The main focus was public universities with basketball programs, but no football programs. The committee will continue to look at which schools will be best for UNC Asheville to benchmark.

Trustee Briggs reported that the committee discussed the results from the NCAA's GOALS (Growth, Opportunities, Aspirations, and Learning of Students) Survey. Student-athletes were asked in this survey about their time, coaches, and well-being.

Report of the February 17, 2016, Meeting of the Endowment and Investment Committee

Chair Smith delivered the report on behalf of the Endowment and Investment Committee.

Chair Smith reported that the students would like a clear statement that UNC Asheville is moving away from fossil fuels in its investment strategy and they want to encourage UNC Management Company to strengthen its ongoing efforts. The only resolution to date from a UNC school on this matter is UNC Chapel Hill.

Vice Chancellor Pierce presented a resolution to the committee that was modeled after the UNC Chapel Hill resolution. This resolution addresses important issues and values as discussed through ongoing conversations with students. After meeting with Jon King from UNC Management, the committee feels it

is important to address this issue. The Foundation Board members on this committee will also bring a resolution to their full board.

WHEREAS, the Board of Trustees of the University recognizes the University's role in seeking solutions to environmental global issues using the educational, research and financial resources of the University; and

WHEREAS, colleges and universities throughout the country are seeking to address their responsibility as environmental stewards by pursuing a range of strategies, including financial divestment from fossil fuels, establishing Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) funds, using renewable energy sources, constructing green buildings, recycling and composting, and sourcing sustainable food;

WHEREAS, through the investment of the University's endowment funds the University can influence the development of clean energy strategies; and

WHEREAS, investing in the development of clean energy strategies promotes economic growth, energy independence and environmental and public health.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Board of Trustees of The University of North Carolina at Asheville requests UNC Management Company research targeted investments for the University's endowment that advance clean energy strategies consistent with applicable asset allocation and investment objective policies, and that UNC Management Company provide regular updates to the University regarding efforts towards clean energy investment and requests.

ADOPTED AND APPROVED this 19th day of February, 2016

Upon a motion recommended by the trustees of the Endowment and Investment Committee, it was

VOTED: To approve the "Resolution to Advance Clean Energy Investments"

Chair Smith continued with the next resolution presented, to establish a student advised endowment fund. The University would provide \$10,000 in seed money for the fund, and the fund would be held within the Endowment Fund of the University. The committee discussed that this fund would be a great learning tool and give students an opportunity to do research, be responsible, create an investment policy, create a strategy and raise money to grow the Fund. It will provide learning opportunities because of the stewardship responsibility component involved with the seed money funds. Many specifics still need to be figured out but this resolution will keep the momentum going.

Trustee Prather noted that this is a great opportunity, and he would like to learn one year from now how we are performing.

WHEREAS, the University of North Carolina at Asheville ("UNC Asheville" or "University") is dedicated to social and environmental sustainability; and

WHEREAS, the University desires to enrich its dedication to social and environmental sustainability and give students a role in such efforts.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, the Board of Trustees of the University of North Carolina at Asheville hereby establishes a student-advised endowment fund ("Fund") to be invested with consideration of Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) factors. The

University will provide seed money of \$10,000 for the Fund, and the Fund will be held within the Endowment Fund of the University. The Fund will be administered consistent with all other University endowment funds.

ADOPTED AND APPROVED this 19th day of February, 2016.

Upon a motion duly made, it was

VOTED: To approve the "Resolution to Establish a Student Advised Endowment Fund"

Chancellor's Report

Chancellor Grant thanked everyone who attended the Salman Rushdie lecture. She took a moment to reflect on the success of this event and thanked the faculty and staff who made the event possible. She also noted that the success was not only about the content of the address or the opportunity our students had during a classroom experience with our world renowned keynote speaker, but the incredible attention to every detail that made the experience of all who attended a memorable event. We welcomed over 3,000 individuals to the Kimmel Arena.

Chancellor Grant noted the many ways the University has been connecting with the community in the past few weeks and highlighted some of the events that have taken place since the beginning of January. On Martin Luther King Jr. Day, over 200 volunteers worked on community service projects across Asheville.

UNC Asheville and The Center for Craft, Creativity & Design (CCCD) launched the Center for Creative Entrepreneurship last month. This center will be an important resource for our faculty and students, bringing together business resources and training programs to support student and community innovation.

Chancellor Grant noted there is ongoing work happening to get the word out to the community to support the Bond through public radio shows and a range of speaking engagements in Asheville and Western North Carolina.

Chancellor Grant also noted that she spoke at the Institute on Emerging Issues in Raleigh, participating on a panel with Secretary of Commerce John Skvarla and Jack Cecil, which put UNC Asheville front and center of this important work and the value of a liberal arts education.

We are also convening important community conversations on campus. The City of Asheville held a public forum on police body cameras, an important discussion which Chief Boyce implemented on campus. Chancellor Grant let the Board know that the Governor's visit was cancelled due to the weather, but will be rescheduled in the next few weeks.

February is Black History Month and we have many important discussions happening around campus. Students, faculty, and staff are coming together to talk about topics such as "What's in a Name," and how we honor our history, and celebrate who we are today.

Communication and Marketing won three awards, including a first-place Grand Award at the CASE Conference. Chancellor Grant thanked Luke Bukoski, Amy Jessee, David Allen, and all of the team for their great work.

Our Bulldogs are doing very well this year. Both basketball teams are currently in first or tied for first in the Big South Conference.

AVID Presentation

As part of the Chancellor's report, Chancellor Grant asked Deaver Traywick, AVID Campus Liaison, to present on the AVID program.

Mr. Traywick described the background of the AVID (Advancement via Individual Determination) program that began 30 years ago in California. The program now is international and widespread throughout the United States. For the past 12 years, Asheville City Schools and UNC Asheville collaborated to support hundreds of students as they graduate from high school and go on to attend college.

The staff and students in the AVID program spoke about their experience with the program and how it has helped them achieve success in and out of the classroom.

Marquis McGee, Assistant Director of Academic Advising
Regina Crieese, Assistant Professor of German
Landon Ward, Instructor in the Department of Environmental Studies
Kaila Hubbs, sophomore / Undeclared
Kimani Anderson, freshman / Undeclared
Austin Dowdy, junior / Sociology and Mass Communication
Tamarya Sims, sophomore / Environmental Studies

All of the students noted they came from different backgrounds. Each of the students spoke highly of the attention they received from the staff whether it be extra help with a particular subject, a detailed timeline of day to day activities, managing finances, or networking. All of the students voiced a strong sense of community since entering into the program and could not thank the staff of this program enough for caring about each of them as they entered into this time of their life.

Trustee Newlin stated to the board how important this group is. She noted that the group of students in front of the board today are leading discussions on campus. She stressed the importance of the AVID Scholarship and how we need to ensure this program becomes a lasting one.

[The Board took a 10 minute break at 11:20 a.m.]
Six members of the Whitesides family entered the room.

Chair's Report

Chair Smith asked that Trustee Newlin present a resolution on behalf of the Board of Trustees. Trustee Newlin called upon Mr. Al Whitesides and Mrs. Shirley Whitesides to join her at the podium as she read the following resolution.

WHEREAS, Alfred J. Whitesides Jr. served as a member of UNC Asheville Board of Trustees from 2001 to 2009 finishing his service as Board Chair and is an active member of the Bulldog Athletic Association;

WHEREAS, during Mr. Whitesides time on the UNC Asheville Board of Trustees and under their stewardship, UNC Asheville went through a period of unprecedented construction and growth not seen since 1963;

WHEREAS, Mr. Whitesides has served as a leader in his community both as a member of the Asheville Student Committee on Racial Equality, which fought for civil rights in Asheville and as an active member of the Asheville community by serving on numerous philanthropic boards;

And WHEREAS, Mr. Whitesides is dedicated to promoting the importance of education as a transformative experience for all people;

THEREFORE, we, the Board of Trustees of the University of North Carolina Asheville, do hereby declare that the building currently known as New Hall, be named in his honor.

New Hall, Renamed Alfred J. Whitesides Jr. Hall, in honor of Alfred J. Whitesides Jr., will be known henceforth, this 19th day of February, 2016, as Whitesides Hall.

The entire board room stood up and gave Mr. Whitesides a round of applause. Chair Smith noted that this is the beginning of a great day.

Mr. Whitesides introduced his family and thanked the Board for how much this means to him.

Adjournment

There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 11:38 a.m.

Submitted Respectfully,


Chelsey Burke Date


Pat Smith, Chair Date